Case 09-52477-gwz Doc 3444 Entered 06/10/11 15:00:26 Page 1 of 12 1 2 3 **Entered on Docket** June 10, 2011 4 Hon. Gregg W. Zive United States Bankruptcy Judge 5 James H.M. Sprayregen, P.C. (IL No. 6190206) 6 Candace Carlyon (NV No. 002666) James Patrick Shea (NV No. 000405) David R. Seligman, P.C. (IL No. 6238064) Sarah H. Seewer (IL No. 6301437) Brandon P. Johansson (NV No. 012003) 7 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP SHEA & CARLYON, LTD. 300 North LaSalle St. 701 Bridger Avenue, Suite 850 8 Chicago, Illinois 60654 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 9 Telephone: (312) 862-2000 Telephone: (702) 471-7432 Facsimile: (312) 862-2200 Facsimile: (702) 471-7435 james.sprayregen@kirkland.com ccarlyon@sheacarlyon.com 10 david.seligman@kirkland.com jshea@sheacarlyon.com sarah.seewer@kirkland.com bjohansson@sheacarlyon.com 11 Reorganization Counsel Special Local Reorganization Counsel 12 for GVR for GVR 13 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 14 15 In re: Chapter 11 Case No. 09-52477 16 STATION CASINOS, INC., et al., 17 Jointly Administered 09-52470 through Debtors and Debtors in Possession.<sup>1</sup> 09-52487, 10-50381, 11-51188, 11-51190 18 through 11-51219, and 11-51702 Affects all debtors listed in footnote 2<sup>2</sup> 19 FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING MOTION OF GVR 20 FOR AN ORDER DIRECTING THE U.S. TRUSTEE TO REMOVE THE SECOND 21 LIEN LENDERS FROM THE OFFICIAL **COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED** 22 **CREDITORS** 23 Hearing Date: May 25, 2011 24 Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. 300 Booth Street Place: 25 Reno, NV 89509 26 The debtors in these jointly administered chapter 11 cases are: (i) Station Casinos, Inc.; Northern NV 27 Acquisitions, LLC; Reno Land Holdings, LLC; River Central, LLC; Tropicana Station, LLC; FCP Holding, Inc.; FCP Voteco, LLC; Fertitta Partners LLC; FCP MezzCo Parent, LLC; FCP MezzCo Parent Sub, LLC; FCP 28 MezzCo Borrower VII, LLC; FCP MezzCo Borrower VI, LLC; FCP MezzCo Borrower V, LLC; FCP MezzCo Borrower IV, LLC; FCP MezzCo Borrower III, LLC; FCP MezzCo Borrower II, LLC; FCP MezzCo Borrower

K&E 19036176.8

## I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>.

- 1. On April 12, 2011 (the "Petition Date"), the above captioned Subsidiary Debtors (excluding GV Ranch Station, Inc. which had a chapter 11 case already pending), the Aliante Debtors and GVR (collectively, the "Debtors") commenced their chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases") in this Court. Pursuant to an order of this Court, the Chapter 11 Cases are jointly administered with the SCI Cases. Also on April 12, 2011, the Debtors filed their "Prepackaged Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization for Subsidiary Debtors, Aliante Debtors and Green Valley Gaming, LLC (Dated March 22, 2011)" (the "Plan"), and their "Disclosure Statement to Accompany Prepackaged Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization for Subsidiary Debtors, Aliante Debtors and Green Valley Gaming, LLC (Dated March 22, 2011)" (the "Disclosure Statement") (both at docket no. 2797). On May 26, 2011, the Court entered an order confirming the Plan as modified (docket no. 3280), with respect to the Subsidiary Debtors and the Aliante Debtors only.
- 2. On April 29, 2011, the United States Trustee for the District of Nevada (the "<u>U.S. Trustee</u>") appointed MFS Investment Management, Panton Capital Group and Babson Capital Management, LLC (collectively, the "<u>Second Lien Lenders</u>") to serve on the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in GVR's chapter 11 case (the "<u>Committee</u>") (docket no. 2917).
- 3. On May 11, 2011, GVR filed its "Motion of GVR for an Order Directing the U.S. Trustee to Remove the Second Lien Lenders from the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors" (docket no. 3021) (the "Motion"). Joinders in Support of the Motion were filed by the

I, LLC and FCP PropCo, LLC (collectively, the "SCI Debtors"), (ii) Auburn Development, LLC; Boulder Station, Inc.; Centerline Holdings, LLC; Charleston Station, LLC; CV HoldCo, LLC; Durango Station, Inc.; Fiesta Station, Inc.; Fresno Land Acquisitions, LLC; Gold Rush Station, LLC; Green Valley Station, Inc.; GV Ranch Station, Inc.; Inspirada Station, LLC; Lake Mead Station, Inc.; LML Station, LLC; Magic Star Station, LLC; Palace Station Hotel & Casinos, Inc.; Past Enterprises, Inc.; Rancho Station, LLC; Santa Fe Station, Inc.; SC Durango Development LLC; Sonoma Land Holdings, LLC; Station Holdings, Inc.; STN Aviation, Inc.; Sunset Station, Inc.; Texas Station, LLC; Town Center Station, LLC; Tropicana Acquisitions, LLC; Tropicana Station, Inc.; and Vista Holdings, LLC (collectively, the "Subsidiary Debtors"), (iii) Aliante Gaming, LLC, Aliante Holding, LLC, and Aliante Station, LLC (collectively, the "Aliante Debtors"), and (iv) Green Valley Ranch Gaming LLC ("GVR").

These Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law affect: GVR.

1	Steering Committee of the First Lien Lenders of GVR (the "Steering Committee") (docket no.
2	3190, which incorporated by reference the Steering Committee's "Opposition to Creditors'
3	Committee's Motion to Adjourn the Confirmation Hearing as it Pertains to Green Valley Ranch
4	Gaming, LLC and Joinder to Motion of GVR for a Protective Order Forbidding Discovery by the
5	Unsecured Creditors' Committee, or in the Alternative Limiting the Scope of the Unsecured
6	Creditors' Committee's Document and Deposition Requests" (docket no. 3060)), Wilmington
7	Trust FSB, as GVR's First Lien Administrative Agent (docket no. 3202), and Station Casinos,
8	Inc. (docket no. 3218) (collectively, the "Joinders").

- 4. On May 12, 2011, upon an *ex parte* application of GVR (docket no. 3022) and the related attorney information sheet (docket no. 3020), the Court entered an order shortening time on the Motion, setting May 25, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. as the hearing on the Motion, and established May 23, 2011 at 12:00 p.m. as the deadline to file oppositions to the Motion (docket no. 3035).
- 5. On May 23, 2011, the U.S. Trustee filed an objection to the Motion (docket no. 3208) (the "U.S. Trustee Objection") and a declaration of William B. Cossitt in support of same (docket no. 3209).
- 6. Also on May 23, 2011, the Committee filed an objection to the Motion (docket no. 3210) (the "Committee Objection").
- 7. A hearing to consider the Motion was held on May 25, 2011 (the "Hearing").
- 8. The Court has considered the Motion and the exhibits thereto, including the letter from David R. Seligman, P.C. of Kirkland & Ellis LLP to William Cossitt Esq., dated May 6, 2011; Mr. Cossitt's letter to Mr. Seligman dated May 10, 2011; the First Lien Credit Agreement dated as of February 16, 2007; the Second Lien Credit Agreement dated as of February 16, 2007; and the Intercreditor Agreement dated as of February 16, 2007 among GVR as Borrower, and Bank of America, N.A. as First Lien Collateral Agent, Second Lien Collateral Agent and Control Agent (the "Intercreditor Agreement").

1	9. The Court has considered all the papers filed in support of the Motion,				
2	including the Joinders, and submissions made at the Hearing. The Court has also considered and				
3	taken judicial notice of various pleadings and documents filed with the Court, including the U.S.				
4	Trustee Objection; the Committee Objection; GVR's Summary of Schedules, Schedule D -				
5	Creditors Holding Secured Claims, and Schedule F - Creditors Holding Unsecured Non-Priority				
6	Claims (docket no. 2988). The Court has considered the cases cited by counsel in the Motion,				
7	the Joinders, the U.S. Trustee Objection and the Committee Objection, including without				
8	limitation In re Ion Media Networks, Inc., 419 B.R. 585 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); In re Boston				
9	Generating, LLC, 440 B.R. 302 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010); In re JNL Funding Corp., 438 B.R. 356				
10	(Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2010); In re Erickson Retirement Communities, 425 B.R. 309 (Bankr. N.D.				
11	Tex. 2010); In re Enron Corp., 279 B.R. 671 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002); In re Pierce, 237 B.R. 748				
12	(Bankr. E.D. Cal. 1999); In re Seascape Cruises Ltd., 131 B.R. 241 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1991), and				
13	In re Sharon Steel Corp., 100 B.R. 767 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1989).				
	10. The Court also considered the transcripts from the Court's April 14 and				
14	The court and constant the transfer for the transfer for the court of				
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively).				
15	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively).				
15 16	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively).  The Court also considered the transcript from the hearing on the <i>Application by Debtors for</i>				
15 16 17	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively).  The Court also considered the transcript from the hearing on the <i>Application by Debtors for</i> Order Compelling the Office of the United States Trustee to Change the Membership of the				
15 16 17 18	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively).  The Court also considered the transcript from the hearing on the <i>Application by Debtors for</i> Order Compelling the Office of the United States Trustee to Change the Membership of the  Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in the case of In re Zante, Inc. (Case No. 09-50746-				
15 16 17 18 19	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively). The Court also considered the transcript from the hearing on the <i>Application by Debtors for Order Compelling the Office of the United States Trustee to Change the Membership of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors</i> in the case of <i>In re Zante, Inc.</i> (Case No. 09-50746-GWZ, June 1, 2009, docket no. 475).				
15 16 17 18 19 20	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively).  The Court also considered the transcript from the hearing on the <i>Application by Debtors for</i> Order Compelling the Office of the United States Trustee to Change the Membership of the  Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in the case of In re Zante, Inc. (Case No. 09-50746-GWZ, June 1, 2009, docket no. 475).  11. Subsequent to the Hearing, the Court held status conferences on May 31,				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively).  The Court also considered the transcript from the hearing on the <i>Application by Debtors for</i> Order Compelling the Office of the United States Trustee to Change the Membership of the  Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in the case of In re Zante, Inc. (Case No. 09-50746-GWZ, June 1, 2009, docket no. 475).  11. Subsequent to the Hearing, the Court held status conferences on May 31,  2011 and June 1, 2011. The Court considered the transcripts from the Hearing (docket no. 3286)				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively).  The Court also considered the transcript from the hearing on the <i>Application by Debtors for</i> Order Compelling the Office of the United States Trustee to Change the Membership of the  Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in the case of In re Zante, Inc. (Case No. 09-50746-  GWZ, June 1, 2009, docket no. 475).  11. Subsequent to the Hearing, the Court held status conferences on May 31,  2011 and June 1, 2011. The Court considered the transcripts from the Hearing (docket no. 3286) and the May 31 and June 1 status conferences (docket nos. 3338 and 3354, respectively).				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	May 18, 2011 hearings in this these Chapter 11 Cases (docket nos. 2856 and 3228, respectively).  The Court also considered the transcript from the hearing on the <i>Application by Debtors for Order Compelling the Office of the United States Trustee to Change the Membership of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors</i> in the case of <i>In re Zante, Inc.</i> (Case No. 09-50746-GWZ, June 1, 2009, docket no. 475).  11. Subsequent to the Hearing, the Court held status conferences on May 31, 2011 and June 1, 2011. The Court considered the transcripts from the Hearing (docket no. 3286) and the May 31 and June 1 status conferences (docket nos. 3338 and 3354, respectively).  12. The Court takes judicial notice of the Plan; the Plan Support Agreement				

Conclusions of Law in connection therewith.

27

28

separate order granting the Motion (the "Order") and makes the following Findings of Fact and

13. Any finding of fact shall constitute a finding of fact even if it is stated as a conclusion of law, and any conclusion of law shall constitute a conclusion of law even if it is stated as a finding of fact. These written Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law shall also include any oral findings of fact and conclusions of law made by the Court during or at the conclusion of the Hearing in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 7052, made applicable to these proceedings by Bankruptcy Rule 9014, and such oral findings and conclusions are incorporated herein as though fully restated herein. II. FINDINGS OF FACT 14. GVR commenced its chapter 11 case by filing a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code. GVR has its principal place of business or principal assets in Nevada. 15. There are various provisions of the Intercreditor Agreement that are

15. There are various provisions of the Intercreditor Agreement that are relevant to this Court's determination. Specifically, in Section 3.1(a)(i)(B) of the Intercreditor Agreement, the Second Lien Lenders agree not to:

contest, protest or object to any foreclosure proceeding or action brought by the First Lien Collateral Agent or any First Lien Claimholder or any other exercise by the First Lien Collateral Agent or any First Lien Claimholder, of any rights and remedies relating to the Collateral under the First Lien Credit Documents or otherwise, provided that the respective interests of the Second Lien Claimholders attach to the proceeds thereof, subject to the relative priorities described in Section 2 and Section 4.

16. Section 2.1 of the Intercreditor Agreement provides the following with respect to the priorities of the liens pursuant to the First Lien Credit Agreement and the Second Lien Credit Agreement:

Relative Priorities. Notwithstanding the date, manner or order of grant, attachment or perfection of any Liens securing the Second Lien Obligations granted on the Collateral or of any Liens securing the First Lien Obligations granted on the Collateral and notwithstanding any provision of the UCC, or any applicable law or the Second Lien Credit Documents, the Second Lien Collateral Agent, on behalf of itself and the Second Lien Claimholders, hereby agrees that: (a) any Lien on the Collateral securing any

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

First Lien Obligations now or hereafter held by or on behalf of the First Lien Collateral Agent or any First Lien Claimholders or any agent or trustee therefor, regardless of how acquired, whether by grant, possession, statute, operation of law, subrogation or otherwise, shall be senior in all respects and prior to any Lien on the Collateral securing any of the Second Lien Obligations; and (b) any Lien on the Collateral now or hereafter held by or on behalf of the Second Lien Collateral Agent, any Second Lien Claimholders or any agent or trustee therefor regardless of how acquired, whether by grant, possession, statute, operation of law, subrogation or otherwise, shall be junior and subordinate in all respects to all Liens on the Collateral securing any First Lien Obligations.

# 17. Section 2.4 of the Intercreditor Agreement provides that:

Prohibition on Contesting Liens. Each of the Second Lien Collateral Agent, for itself and on behalf of each Second Lien Claimholder, and the First Lien Collateral Agent, for itself and on behalf of each First Lien Claimholder, agrees that it shall not (and hereby waives any right to) contest or support any other Person in contesting, in any proceeding (including any Insolvency or Liquidation Proceeding), the priority, validity or enforceability of a Lien held by or on behalf of any of the First Lien Claimholders in the First Lien Collateral or by or on behalf of any of the Second Lien Claimholders in the Second Lien Collateral, as the case may be; provided that nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to prevent or impair the rights of the First Lien Collateral Agent or any First Lien Claimholder to enforce this Agreement, including the priority of the Liens securing the First Lien Obligations as provided in Sections 2.1 and 3.1.

### 18. Section 3.1(a)(ii) of the Intercreditor Agreement provides that:

Subject to Section 5.1, the First Lien Collateral Agent and the First Lien Claimholders shall have the exclusive right to enforce rights, exercise remedies (including set-off and the right to credit bid their debt) and make determinations regarding the release, disposition, or restrictions with respect to the Collateral without any consultation with or the consent of the Second Lien Collateral Agent or any Second Lien Claimholder; provided, that:

...

(D) in any Insolvency or Liquidation Proceeding, the Second Lien Claimholders shall be entitled to file any pleadings, objections, motions or agreements which assert rights or interests available to

-6-

unsecured creditors of the Grantors arising under either Bankruptcy Law or applicable nonbankruptcy law, in each case in accordance with the terms of Section 5.4.

# 19. Section 3.1(c) of the Intercreditor Agreement provides that:

The Second Lien Collateral Agent, for itself and on behalf of the Second Lien Claimholders, agrees that (i) the Second Lien Collateral Agent and the Second Lien Claimholders will not take any action that would hinder, delay or impede any exercise of remedies under the First Lien Credit Documents, including any sale, lease, exchange, transfer or other disposition of the Collateral, whether by foreclosure or otherwise, and (ii) the Second Lien Collateral Agent, for itself and on behalf of the Second Lien Claimholders, hereby waives any and all rights it or the Second Lien Claimholders may have as a junior lien creditor or otherwise to object to the manner or order in which the First Lien Collateral Agent or the First Lien Claimholders seek to enforce or collect the First Lien Obligations or the Liens granted in any of the First Lien Collateral, provided that this clause (c) shall not prevent that Second Lien Collateral Agent and the Second Lien Claimholders from exercising the rights listed in the proviso in clause (ii) of Section 3.1 (a).

## 20. Section 5.4 of the Intercreditor Agreement provides that:

Rights As Unsecured Creditors. Except as otherwise set forth in Section 2.1 or Section 3.1, the Second Lien Collateral Agent and the Second Lien Claimholders may exercise rights and remedies as unsecured creditors against any Grantor in accordance with the terms of the Second Lien Credit Documents and applicable law. Except as otherwise set forth in Section 2.1 and Section 4, nothing in this Agreement shall prohibit the receipt by the Second Lien Collateral Agent or any Second Lien Claimholders of the required payments of interest and principal so long as such receipt is not the direct or indirect result of the exercise by the Second Lien Collateral Agent or any Second Lien Claimholders of rights or remedies as a secured creditor (including set-off or recoupment) or enforcement of any Lien held by any of them. Nothing in this Agreement impairs or otherwise adversely affects any rights or remedies the First Lien Collateral Agent or the First Lien Claimholders may have with respect to the Collateral. In the event that any Second Lien Claimholder becomes a judgment Lien creditor as a result of its enforcement of its rights as an unsecured creditor, such judgment Lien shall be subject to the terms of this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Agreement for all purposes to the same extent as all other Liens securing the Second Lien Obligations subject to this Agreement.

#### 21. Section 6.2 of the Intercreditor Agreement provides that:

Sale Issues. The Second Lien Agent, on behalf of itself and the Second Lien Claimholders, agrees that it will raise no objection to or oppose a sale or other disposition of any Collateral (and any postpetition assets subject to adequate protection liens in favor of the First Lien Collateral Agent) free and clear of its Liens or other claims under Section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code if the Requisite Lenders under the First Lien Credit Agreement have consented to such sale or disposition of such assets so long as the interests of the Second Lien Claimholders in the Collateral (and any postpetition assets subject to adequate protection liens, if any, in favor of the Second Lien Collateral Agent) attach to the proceeds thereof, subject to the terms of this Agreement. If requested by the First Lien Collateral Agent in connection therewith, the Second Lien Collateral Agent shall affirmatively consent to such a sale or disposition.

22. The "rights or remedies the First Lien Collateral Agent or First Lien Claimholders may have with respect to the Collateral" referred to in Section 5.4 of the Intercreditor Agreement includes the rights and remedies contained in Section 6 of the Intercreditor Agreement.

23. The Intercreditor Agreement does not contain any proscription on unsecured creditors serving on the Committee. But, to the extent an unsecured creditor is a party to the Intercreditor Agreement, that creditor's service on the Committee might be severely limited and might preclude the creditor from being an effective member of the Committee.

24. The Second Lien Lenders did indicate a willingness not to recover based upon any liens that may exist pursuant to the Second Lien Credit Agreement or related documents or otherwise, and indicated a willingness to waive such liens, but not without certain conditions, including orders from the Court, to which the First Lien Lenders objected and the Court declined to grant.

25. MFS Investment Management stated the following on its acceptance of the solicitation to serve on the Committee: "Although the second lien credit agreement does not

- 26. Panton Capital Group and Babson Capital Management, LLC included substantially equivalent statements in their acceptances of the United States Trustee's solicitation.
- 27. The U.S. Trustee indicated that it intends to re-solicit creditors to serve on the Committee.

#### III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 28. Section 1102(a)(1) of the United States Bankruptcy Code provides "...the United States trustee shall appoint a committee of creditors holding unsecured claims..."

  Section 1102(a)(4) further provides that, "[o]n request of a party of interest and after notice and a hearing, the court may order the United States trustee to change the membership of a committee appointed under this subsection, if the court determines that the change is necessary to ensure adequate representation of creditors...."
- 29. The Intercreditor Agreement is a valid and enforceable "subordination agreement" as that term is used in section 510(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 30. The Intercreditor Agreement applies to the Second Lien Lenders as members of the Committee.
- 31. The sale of substantially all of GVR's assets that is contemplated in the Plan is a "disposition of collateral" and an exercise of the rights and remedies of the First Lien Collateral Agent and the First Lien Claimholders under the Intercreditor Agreement.
- 32. The Intercreditor Agreement does not prohibit the Second Lien Lenders from acting as unsecured creditors in accordance with section 3.1(a)(ii)(D) of the Intercreditor Agreement, so long as they do so in accordance with section 5.4 of the Intercreditor Agreement,

which, by its reference to section 3.1, prohibits the Second Lien Lenders from contesting, protesting, or objecting to the sale of GVR's assets that is contemplated in the Plan.

- 33. While by this Order, the Court does not make any determinations as to the rights, remedies, or obligations the parties may have under the Intercreditor Agreement, the First Lien Credit Agreement, the Second Lien Credit Agreement or any related agreements, the restrictions imposed upon Second Lien Lenders by the agreements cited in the foregoing Findings and Conclusions, including the Intercreditor Agreement, would preclude the Second Lien Lenders from adequately representing unsecured creditors. The Court concludes that the Second Lien Lenders cannot be members of the committee.
- 34. No unsecured creditor that could be among the constituency of the Committee would be subject to the restrictions contained in the Intercreditor Agreement applicable to the Second Lien Lenders.
- 35. In light of the foregoing, the Court concludes that the restrictions imposed by the Intercreditor Agreement preclude the Second Lien Lenders from adequately representing unsecured creditors of GVR.

\ \ \

17 \\\

#### 1 SUBMITTED BY: 2 /s/ Sarah H. Seewer 3 James H.M. Sprayregen, P.C. (IL No. 6190206) Candace Carlyon (NV No. 002666) James Patrick Shea (NV No. 000405) David R. Seligman, P.C. (IL No. 6238064) 4 Brandon P. Johansson (NV No. 012003) Sarah H. Seewer (IL No. 6301437) 5 SHEA & CARLYON, LTD. KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 701 Bridger Avenue, Suite 850 300 North LaSalle St. 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Chicago, Illinois 60654 Telephone: (702) 471-7432 Telephone: (312) 862-2000 7 Facsimile: (702) 471-7435 Facsimile: (312) 862-2200 ccarlyon@sheacarlyon.com james.sprayregen@kirkland.com 8 david.seligman@kirkland.com jshea@sheacarlyon.com 9 sarah.seewer@kirkland.com bjohansson@sheacarlyon.com 10 Special Local Reorganization Counsel Reorganization Counsel for GVR for GVR 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	RULE 9021 DECLARATION				
2	In accordance with Local Rule 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that these				
3	findings and conclusions accurately reflect the court's ruling and that:				
4	The Court has waived the requirement of approval under LR 9021.				
5		No parties appeared or filed written objections, and there is no trustee appointed in this case.			
6		I have delivered a copy of these proposed findings and conclusions to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, any trustee appointed in this case, and each has			
7	co				
8	approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below:				
10		Approved the fo	rm of these findings and conclusions		
11		Disapproved the	form of these findings and conclusions		
12		Failed to respond	d		
13					
14	DATED this 9th day of June 2011  /s/ Sarah H. Seewer				
15			James H.M. Sprayregen, P.C. (IL No. 6190206) David R. Seligman, P.C. (IL No. 6238064) Sarah H. Seewer (IL No. 6301437)		
16			KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP		
17			300 North LaSalle St. Chicago, Illinois 60654		
18			Telephone: (312) 862-2000 Facsimile: (312) 862-2200		
19			james.sprayregen@kirkland.com		
20			david.seligman@kirkland.com sarah.seewer@kirkland.com		
21			Reorganization Counsel		
22			for GVR		
23					
24					
25	###				
26					
27					
28					